	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\rightarrow
Notice of Allowability	09/976,767	MAEDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin M Bernatz	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT F of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in i) or other appropriate common RIGHTS. This application is s	n this application. If not includ unication will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to 6/18/2004.			
2. \boxtimes The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-8</u> .			
3. $igotimes$ The drawings filed on <u>12 October 2001</u> are accepted by the	ne Examiner.		
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav 2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents hav 1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav 2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents hav 3. Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON'THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submared in INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which giv 6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperation including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR feach sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the stached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	e been received. e been received in Application occuments have been received occuments have been received of this communication to file MENT of this application. Initted. Note the attached EXA res reason(s) why the oath or st be submitted. Son's Patent Drawing Review of Amendment / Comment or 1.84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 CF posit of BIOLOGICAL MATERS.	on No d in this national stage applicated in this national stage applicated in this national stage applicated in the replacement of the drawings in the front (not the R 1.121(d).	quirements OTICE OF
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview St Paper No./ 08), 7. ☐ Examiner's	formal Patent Application (PTC ummary (PTO-413), Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allo 	,

Application/Control Number: 09/976,767

Art Unit: 1773

Reasons for Allowance

1. The present claims are deemed allowable over Yoshikawa et al. and Ejiri et al. since neither reference discloses or renders obvious a magnetic recording medium comprising an in-plane magnetic recording film and a perpendicular film formed on said in-plane magnetic film wherein the tBr of the two films meet applicants' claimed limitation.

To better clarify the record, the Examiner notes that while Yoshikawa et al. disclose a structure comprising {substrate/in-plane magnetic layer/perpendicular magnetic layer} wherein the in-plane magnetic layer has a tBr of 20 – 100 μm*G and Ejiri et al. teach using different tBr values for adjacent magnetic layers possessing an orthogonal relations, neither Yoshikawa et al. nor Ejiri et al. teach or render obvious the claimed tBr relationship. Specifically, the Examiner notes that upon further consideration, and in view of applicants' arguments, Ejiri et al. requires the lower layer to possess a tBr outside Yoshikawa et al.'s disclosed range and Ejiri et al. further disclose both layers having tBr values that are approximately identical (e.g. Ejiri et al., Paragraph 0079 and Examples 1 and 7). As such, the Examiner deems that the prior art of record fails to teach or render obvious the claimed tBr relationship between the in-plane magnetic recording layer and the perpendicular magnetic layer formed thereon.

2. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 1773

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin M Bernatz whose telephone number is (571) 272-1505. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin M. Bernatz, PhD

Primary Examiner

September 2, 2004